Date: June 25, 2009

United States District Court

Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v.	
SURESH CHAND /	Case Number: 09-20215-1
Defendant	
In accordance with the Bail Reform facts require the detention of the defendant p	Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following bending trial in this case.
	Part I – Findings of Fact
	to believe that the defendant has committed an offense erm of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 841 or 846; e).
	has not rebutted the presumption established by finding that no condition or combination earance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
	Alternative Findings
\checkmark I find that the government has estimated will not appear.	stablished by a preponderance of the evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant
☐ I find that the government has est will endanger the safety of another person or	tablished by clear and convincing evidence that there is a serious risk that the defendant the community.
	II – Written Statement of Reasons for Detention
✓ I find that the credible testimony U.S.C. § 3142(g):	and information submitted at the hearing established the following factors under 18
 ✓ (a) nature of the offense care benefits frau ✓ (b) weight of the evider ✓ (c) history and characte removal proceedi ✓ 1) physical and ✓ 2) employment 	e - Mr. Chand is the lead defendant in a 13 Count Indictment charging large scale health d and money laundering. His two primary co-defendants have fled the country. nce - The indictment establishes probable cause and the evidence appears to be strong. eristics of the defendant - Defendant is a foreign national (India) and is presently facing ngs unrelated to this case. I mental condition - Good health. It, financial, family ties - Has wife, 2 children; employed in health care industry.
	tory and record of appearance - No criminal history. bond at time of the alleged offense - erson or community -
_	United States and is subject to exclusion. He will certainly be excluded if he is convicted in

Defendant has no legal status in the United States and is subject to exclusion. He will certainly be excluded if he is convicted in this case. The evidence appears to be substantial, and the two primary co-conspirator defendants have already fled the country. Defendant admits to significant assets, and the government claims that millions of dollars were generated by the charged offenses. Although defendant has no criminal history, and Pretrial Services recommends bond, I consider the defendant a flight risk. He faces a minimum guideline sentence of 10 years if convicted on all counts. His future in this country is extremely doubtful, and he has a strong motive to abscond. Most of the assets generated by the alleged offenses have not been found. Despite recently improved security measures, the US/Canadian border is relatively easy to cross by water.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

s/Donald A. Scheer

Signature of Judge

Donald A. Scheer, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge